



Pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: updates on biology, risk stratification, and therapy

Caitlin W. Elgarten^{a,b,c} and Richard Aplenc^{a,b,c}

Purpose of review

Despite advances in therapy over the past decades, overall survival for children with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) has not exceeded 70%. In this review, we highlight recent insights into risk stratification for patients with pediatric AML and discuss data driving current and developing therapeutic approaches.

Recent findings

Advances in cytogenetics and molecular profiling, as well as improvements in detection of minimal residual disease after induction therapy, have informed risk stratification, which now relies heavily on these elements. The treatment of childhood AML continues to be based primarily on intensive, conventional chemotherapy. However, recent trials focus on limiting treatment-related toxicity through the identification of low-risk subsets who can safely receive fewer cycles of chemotherapy, allocation of hematopoietic stem-cell transplant to only high-risk patients and optimization of infectious and cardioprotective supportive care.

Summary

Further incorporation of genomic and molecular data in pediatric AML will allow for additional refinements in risk stratification to enable the tailoring of treatment intensity. These data will also dictate the incorporation of molecularly targeted therapeutics into frontline treatment in the hope of improving survival while decreasing treatment-related toxicity.

Keywords

acute myeloid leukemia, molecularly targeted therapy, pediatric, risk-stratification, supportive care

INTRODUCTION

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is relatively rare in children but causes disproportionate mortality. Although outcomes for children with AML have improved over the last decades, overall survival remains near 70% [1–3]. Due in large part to collaborative international efforts, treatment approaches for pediatric AML have converged to a standard that includes four or five cycles of myelosuppressive chemotherapy with cytarabine and anthracyclines followed by hematopoietic stem-cell transplant (HSCT) for a subset of patients. Collaborative efforts have also enabled refinement of risk stratification on the basis of clinical characteristics and molecular profiling. In this review, we will discuss new insights into the risk stratification of pediatric AML and review the literature driving current therapies and upcoming clinical trials.

PROGNOSTIC FACTORS AND RISK STRATIFICATION

The identification and validation of prognostic factors enabling therapy to be tailored to individual patients has been the focus of many recent AML

investigations. Advances in sequencing have identified molecular subsets with prognostic significance, which, in conjunction with clinical factors, drive current risk stratification.

Host factors

Unmodifiable patient characteristics such as age, race, weight at diagnosis, and germline predisposition impact outcomes for children with AML. With intensive chemotherapy and supportive care, infants fare similarly to children but adolescents and young-adults experience higher rates of treatment-related

^aDivision of Oncology, ^bCenter for Pediatric Clinical Effectiveness Research, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and ^cDepartment of Pediatrics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Correspondence to Caitlin W. Elgarten, MD, MSCE, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, CTRB 10-305, 3501 Civic Center Blvd, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA. Tel: +1 267 426 7252; e-mail: Elgartenc@E-Mail.chop.edu

Curr Opin Pediatr 2020, 32:57–66

DOI:10.1097/MOP.0000000000000855

KEY POINTS

- Risk stratification in pediatric AML is now based on cytogenetic and molecular disease features, as well as response to induction therapy detected by MRD.
- Induction therapy for AML includes administration of anthracycline and cytarabine; consolidation therapy varies by risk stratification and includes high-dose cytarabine or HSCT.
- The incorporation of molecularly targeted agents for specific AML subtypes is a central focus for further investigation.
- Ongoing efforts to optimize supportive care, particularly improving infectious prophylaxis and minimizing cardiotoxicity, have enabled the dosing intensification required to improve survival in pediatric AML.

mortality (TRM) and relapse [4–10]. Compared with their white counterparts, black children have consistently had poorer outcomes on Children's Cancer Group (CCG)/Children's Oncology Group (COG) trials [10,11]. This difference has not been observed in smaller studies [12,13] but may be attributable to increased illness severity at presentation [14]. Finally, the CCG2961 study showed that patients who are underweight or overweight have worse overall survival compared with patients with normal weight because of increased TRM [15]. These results were validated in a subsequent meta-analysis [16].

Patients with AML or myelodysplastic predisposition syndromes, such as Fanconi Anemia or Kostmann syndrome, tend to present with AML characterized by adverse cytogenetic features and chemotherapy resistance. These patients often have compromised ability to recover normal hematopoiesis following treatment and increased sensitivity to chemotherapeutic agents with excess toxicity necessitating dose attenuation. As such, patients with bone marrow failure syndromes are usually excluded from standard AML clinical trials. Thus, the therapeutic approaches for these patients are outside the scope of this review. Similarly, myeloid leukemia of Down's syndrome is a unique disease entity defined by mutations of GATA1. Although children with trisomy 21 have a 150-fold risk of developing AML [17], those who are younger than four also have increased sensitivity to chemotherapy with resultant remission rates over 90% and overall survival above 80% [7,18–20]. Dose reduction has been the focus of treatment protocols for this subgroup.

Clinical characteristics

The peripheral white blood cell (WBC) count on presentation is predictive with WBCs above 100 000 cells/ μ l linked to unfavorable outcomes [4]. Genomic and functional studies have begun to provide the biological basis for these elevated presenting WBC counts. Studies of the prognostic significance of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) involvement at diagnosis have been conflicting, which may be attributable to differences in the definition of CSF involvement, intrathecal chemotherapy selection, and the dose and timing of high-dose cytarabine [21,22]. Central nervous system and orbital chloromas are associated with improved outcomes, whereas cutaneous disease is linked to inferior outcomes, again likely because of the underlying biology of these lesions [23]. None of these presentations, however, is currently used to risk-stratify patients, although intensified intrathecal therapy is recommended for patients with CSF disease.

Cytogenetic and molecular abnormalities

Although AML is a heterogeneous disorder at the cytogenetic and molecular levels, many of these alterations have not been definitively associated with prognosis in the context of current treatment regimens. Alterations with potential prognostic significance are summarized in Table 1. Future refinements of risk stratification will likely be the result of additionally identified and validated karyotypic and molecular lesions.

Overall, the molecular landscape underlying pediatric AML remains quite distinct from adults [24^{***}]. For one, the consensus low-risk cytogenetic group consisting of core-binding factor, nucleophosmin 1 and CEBPA gene mutations comprise approximately one-third of pediatric AML, a larger proportion than seen in adults [25]. In addition, cryptic translocations are significant contributors to childhood AML with a high prevalence in young children that declines in adulthood. Finally, somatic mutations impacting DNA methylation are highly prevalent in adults, whereas structural alterations in methyltransferase genes are prevalent in young children, but rare or absent in adults. These differences between children and adults have important implications when considering potential therapeutic targets.

Minimal residual disease

Initial response to induction therapy is a critical predictor of outcome in AML. Failure to achieve a clinical remission is highly predictive of poor outcome, even if subsequent therapy results in a remission

Table 1. Cytogenetic and gene rearrangements and gene mutations in newly diagnosed childhood acute myeloid leukemia

Molecular lesion	Frequency in childhood AML	Prognosis	References
Alterations associated with a favorable prognosis			
t(8;21) RUNX1-RUNX1T1	10–12%	5-year overall survival 80–90%	[98,99]
Inv(16) CBFβ-MYH11	10%	5-year overall survival 85%	[98,99]
NPM1 gene mutations	8–10% Very uncommon in young patients	5-year EFS 80% and OS 85% Abrogates adverse prognosis of FLT3 ITD mutations	[100–102]
CEBPA gene mutations	5–10%	5-year overall survival 80% for double mutants; prognostic significance of single allele mutations unknown	[103–106]
Alterations associated with a poor prognosis			
Monosomy 7 Monosomy 5, del(5q)	2–4%	5–10-year overall survival 30–40%	[50,98,107]
FLT3/ITD	10–20% Frequency increases with age	5-year overall survival 30–40% in patients with high allelic ratios ^a Important therapeutic target	[101,108–111]
11q23 (KMT2A) rearrangements	20% Occurs most frequently in infants Associated with secondary leukemias because of epipoophyllotoxin exposure	Prognostic significance dependent on fusion partner but ranges from 5-year EFS above 90% for t(1;11) to 10% for t(6;11)	[98,99,112,113]
t(6;9) (DEK-NUP214)	<1%	5-year overall survival 20%	[114,115]
t(7;12) (MNX-ETV6)	Up to 30% of children younger than 2 (and only found in this age group)	3-year EFS 10–24%	[116–119]
t(5;11) (NUP98-NSD1)	10% Highly associated with FLT3/ITD	4-year EFS 10%	[114,120–122]
inv(16)(p13.3q24.3) (CBFA2T3-GLIS2)	2% Occurs only in patients younger than 3	5-year EFS 27%	[123,124]
Alterations of uncertain significance			
t(1;22)	<1% Primarily in patients younger than 1	Conflicting outcomes in the literature	[125,126]
t(8;16)	10%	In infants diagnosed in the first month of life, spontaneous remission has been observed	[127–132]
FLT3/TKD mutations	7%	No prognostic significance in pediatric AML	[101,108–111]
KIT gene mutations	<5% overall but 25% of patients with favorable prognosis cytogenetics	May negatively impact response to therapy; potential therapeutic target for exploration	[133,134]

AML, Acute myeloid leukemia; EFS, event-free survival; FLT3, FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3; ITD, internal tandem duplication; TKD, tyrosine kinase domain.

^aHigh mutant to normal allelic ratio, usually at least 0.3.

[6]. Multiparameter flow cytometry immunophenotyping has been progressively refined to be able to distinguish leukemic blasts from normal hematopoietic precursors, providing a far more sensitive methodology for stratification than morphologic response alone [26]. Results from cooperative group trials have clearly demonstrated that minimal residual disease (MRD) after induction is an independent prognostic marker in patients with uninformative molecular features [27–29]. However, the COG trials AAML03P1 and AAML0531 found that the presence of MRD in patients with low-risk cytogenetics was not associated

with adverse outcome. These studies conversely demonstrated that high-risk patients had adverse outcomes despite negative MRD. These data have informed a two-tiered risk stratification schema, where patients with informative cytogenetic or molecular lesions are allocated to the appropriate risk class and those without informative risk biomarkers are stratified by disease response.

A newer approach to detecting MRD is based on polymerase chain reaction or deep nucleic acid sequencing, designed to detect specific gene mutations or chromosomal translocations. Although

such approaches offer the benefit of improved sensitivity for low-level disease, they are currently only applicable in half of AML patients because of molecular heterogeneity and instability genetic changes particularly when present at low allelic frequency [30]. The specific role for molecular MRD in determining response to therapy or guiding therapeutic decisions is an area of active research.

TREATMENT OF CHILDHOOD ACUTE MYELOID LEUKEMIA

Intensification of chemotherapy – both in induction and consolidation – has emerged as the fundamental AML treatment paradigm [25]. Currently, induction seeks to achieve an initial remission and is then followed by consolidative courses of therapy, including allogeneic HSCT for select patients. More recently, novel and molecularly targeted agents have been developed to enhance overall efficacy but maintain tolerability of current chemotherapy regimens. Table 2 summarizes key findings from recent clinical trials conducted by large consortia. In parallel, the development of evidence-based supportive care guidelines has been critical to supporting the intensification of AML chemotherapy.

Approaches to upfront therapy

Since the early 1980s, induction therapy is based on administration of daunorubicin and cytarabine

[31,32]. Multiple trials have tested alternative anthracyclines, intensification of cytarabine dosing, and inclusion of additional agents. To date, substituting alternative anthracyclines into this regimen has not provided consistent improvements in remission rates [33–38]. However, the new formulation of liposomal daunorubicin and cytarabine (CPX-351) has recently been approved for the treatment of AML in adults and demonstrated promise in the pediatric relapsed/refractory setting [39[¶]] and is now being studied in frontline trials [25]. Simultaneously, multiple trials have sought to define the optimal dosing and timing of cytarabine, the other critical component of induction. Results of trials comparing low-dose cytarabine (100–200 mg/m²) to high dose (1000–3000 mg/m² twice daily) are mixed [29,40,41]. Thus, pediatric cooperative groups remain divided on cytarabine dosing in induction.

Collaborative groups have tested the addition of a third agent to this backbone. The most recent Medical Research Council (MRC) trial evaluated the addition of etoposide to daunorubicin and cytarabine and reported no improvement in outcome for patients randomized to receive etoposide [42]. Based on these data, etoposide will be removed from standard therapy in the COG AAML1831 trial. Finally, clofarabine, a second-generation purine nucleoside analog has been incorporated into induction therapy in some trials. The St. Jude Children's Research Hospital AML08 (stood for acute myeloid leukemia)

Table 2. Characteristics and treatment results from selected clinical trials for childhood AML

Study group (trial acronym) ^a	Study period	No. of patients ^{b,c}	Early deaths (%)	Complete response rate (%) ^d	Time of complete response evaluation	EFS ± standard error	Overall survival ± standard error	Time of EFS/OS evaluation (years)	References
AIEOP (AAML2002/02)	2002–2001	482	3	87	2 courses	55% ± 2.6	68% ± 2.4	8	[135]
BFM (AML2004)	2004–2010	611	2–3	88–89	4 courses	55 ± 2	74 ± 2	5	[136]
COG (AAML0531)	2006–2010	1022 ^e	1.8	86.7	2 courses	46.9 ± 4.4–53.1 ± 4.4	65.4 ± 4.4–69.4 ± 4.2	3	[10]
JPLSG (AML05)	2006–2010	443			2 courses	54 ± 2	73 ± 2	3	[137]
MRC (AML12)	1994–2002	455	4	92	4 courses	53	64	10	[37,50,52]
EORTC-CLG (58921)	1993–2000	177	1	84	2 courses	49 ± 4	62 ± 4	7	[138]
NOPHO (AML2004)	2004–2007	151	1.3	97.4	2 courses	57 ± 5	69 ± 5	3	[139,140]
SJCRH (AML08)	2008–2017	285	1.5	93	2 courses	52.9%	64.6%	3	[43 [¶]]

COG AAML0531 numbers represent outcomes for patients not receiving gemtuzumab ozogamicin compared with those who did receive this antibody conjugate. This table was adapted from Pui *et al.* [1]. AIEOP, Italian Association for Pediatric Hematology and Oncology; BFM, Berlin–Frankfurt–Munster group; COG, Children's Oncology Group; EFS, event-free survival; EORTC-CLG, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Children Leukemia Group; JPLSG, Japanese Pediatric Leukemia/Lymphoma Study Group; MRC, Medical Research Council; NOPHO, Nordic Society of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology; SJCRH, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital.

^aResults are reported for only those trials that had at least 150 patients and information provided for each of the column headings.

^bNo. of patients excludes patients with Down Syndrome.

^cAges include patients from 0 to 15 years, inclusive.

^dComplete response was determined by morphology of less than 5% leukemic blasts.

^eAge for inclusion in trial was 0–29 years of age.

study randomized patients to receive clofarabine versus daunorubicin and etoposide with cytarabine in the first block of induction therapy. This trial reported a three-year overall survival and event-free survival (EFS) that was not significant between the two arms, although the point estimates for day 22 MRD and three-year overall survival suggest that the clofarabine arm may be inferior [43[¶]]. Further data are needed before widespread adoption of this approach. The Berlin–Frankfurt–Munster–acute myeloid leukemia group will perform a similar randomization in their current study.

Post-remission consolidation therapy consists of high-dose cytarabine for patients who do not proceed to HSCT [10,29,42,44–47]. Although the need for intensive consolidation is well established, the necessary number of consolidation cycles remains undefined. Most cooperative groups provide an additional two to three cycles of intensive chemotherapy to give a total of three to five cycles of chemotherapy. Although recent trials from the Japanese cooperative group and MRC both demonstrated no change in survival with an additional cycle of chemotherapy [37,48–50], the COG AAML1031 trial saw inferior outcomes for patients treated with four cycles when compared with five on the prior trial [51]. Subgroup analyses found that the fifth cycle could be eliminated in patients with favorable molecular features and negative MRD without impacting survival. As a result, the number of consolidation cycles will be based on molecular features and disease response in AAML1831, the upcoming COG phase III trial.

Hematopoietic stem-cell transplant

The efficacy of HSCT in AML is at least partially linked to a graft-versus-leukemia (GVL) effect resulting from immune surveillance by donor T-lymphocytes. Of course, HSCT also poses risk of additional TRM because of graft-versus-host-disease (GVHD), infection, and organ toxicity. Thus, application of HSCT must be carefully considered with potential benefit, especially in an era of improved outcomes using intensified chemotherapy regimens.

Patients with favorable-risk AML are currently only offered HSCT in second clinical remission [40,52,53–56]. In contrast, patients with high-risk AML are nearly always offered HSCT as consolidative therapy. This latter group includes patients with complex cytogenetics, monosomy 7, monosomy 5, del(5), high allelic ratio FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3)/internal tandem duplication (ITD) without good prognosis modifiers, and those with poor response to induction therapy [10,57,58]. Research is ongoing to further define which patients with

intermediate-risk AML will benefit from HSCT. However, although short of definitive information, there is enough evidence demonstrating that allogeneic HSCT results in more effective leukemia eradication and overall patient survival that it is a reasonable option to consider in this still undefined risk group subset.

As the field of transplantation evolves, the improved TRM for alternative donor transplants has provided the means to offer HSCT – and potential GVL – when no matched family donor is available [59]. Retrospective analyses in AML have demonstrated that matched unrelated donors [60], mismatched unrelated donors [61], and unrelated cord blood [62,63] are all reasonable donor sources no matched related donor is available [64,65]. In addition, the use of haploidentical donors has seen significant advances over the past several decades in terms of feasibility, successful engraftment, and reduction in GVHD and TRMs [66–73]. Haploidentical HSCT after $\alpha\beta$ T-cell/B-cell depletion holds promise for retaining the antileukemic capacity of the graft while minimizing infection and GVHD [74[¶]]. Identification of which patients will benefit from consolidative HSCT will be refined in the coming years, but will likely not be based, as historically, on availability of a matched related donor.

Molecularly targeted therapies

Further therapy intensification of traditional cytotoxic chemotherapy is impractical given the risks of both short-term and long-term organ dysfunction. As a result, emphasis has been placed upon the development of complementary, molecularly targeted therapeutic approaches. Two agents have thus far been incorporated into frontline phase III trials for childhood AML. Several additional agents are under investigation in early phase trials or used in the relapsed setting. Table 3 describes some of these approaches.

Gemetuzumab ozogamicin, a humanized anti-CD33 monoclonal antibody conjugate, has sound rationale for use in AML as the majority of cases express CD33 [2]. The randomized Phase III trial AAML0531 performed definitive efficacy testing of gemetuzumab ozogamicin in children. Although the addition of gemetuzumab ozogamicin did not improve remission rates or overall survival, EFS was improved compared with chemotherapy alone [10]. Based on the higher EFS and adult trials showing benefit to this agent [75], gemetuzumab ozogamicin will be included in the therapeutic backbone for the next COG phase III trial.

Due to the adverse prognosis associated with FLT3 mutations, and the demonstrated signaling

Table 3. Novel drugs and treatment modalities in childhood AML

Drugs by modality	Comments
Immunotherapy	
Monoclonal antibodies (e.g., gemtuzumab ozogamicin)	Anti-CD33 monoclonal antibody conjugated to cytotoxic calicheamicin. Based on data from adults [75], and demonstrated activity in pediatric studies [9,10], gemtuzumab will be incorporated into upfront therapy in the next COG phase III trial
CAR T cells	T cells with genetically engineered CARs to target tumor antigens are in development for AML. The paucity of well characterized, leukemia-specific surface antigens in AML have complicated their development; however, several antigens have been identified for investigation including CD33, CD38, CD123, and Lewis-Y [141]. Early phase trials are planned including a phase I/II trial of CD33 CAR in children and young adults (NCT03971799)
Tyrosine kinase inhibitors	
FLT3 inhibitors (e.g., sorafenib, midostaurin, gilteritinib)	There is biologic rationale for the use of FLT3 inhibitors based on the increased signaling dependence in FLT3/ITD blasts. First generation multikinase inhibitors midostaurin and sorafenib have shown promising efficacy when used with standard chemotherapy and as postconsolidation maintenance [76 [■] ,77]. The latter has been studied in children specifically [78,79]. Second generation FLT3 inhibitors, including gilteritinib, have increased potency and selectivity for FLT3. These agents have been evaluated in early phase clinical trials in adults and will be incorporated into upcoming pediatric studies [141,142 [■] ,143]
KIT inhibitor (e.g., dasatinib)	Because of the frequency of KIT mutations in AML with favorable prognosis cytogenetics, KIT is an appealing target for drug development
Proteasome/ubiquitin pathway inhibitors	
Proteasome inhibitor (e.g., bortezomib)	Proteasome inhibitors have been investigated in the treatment of multiple malignancies. Although early phase studies in relapsed/refractory AML suggested efficacy and tolerability of bortezomib, the most recently completed COG trial did not show a benefit to the addition of bortezomib to upfront therapy
NEDD8 inhibitor (e.g., pevonedistat)	The small molecule has the potential for significant antitumor effect through triggering apoptosis and autophagy. Early phase clinical trials to assess dosing and safety in conjunction with fludarabine, cytarabine, and azacytidine are underway in relapsed/refractory AML (NCT03813147) [144 [■]]
Epigenetic targeting	
Methyltransferase inhibitors (e.g., azacytidine, decitabine)	These agents are incorporated into DNA resulting in a variety of mechanisms with antileukemic potential, including but not limited to induction of global hypomethylation, downregulation of oncogenes, reactivation of tumor suppressors, and increasing sensitivity to cytotoxic agents. Studies are ongoing to evaluate these agents alone, as priming for conventional chemotherapy, or in combination with other epigenetic strategies including inhibition of histone deacetylase, NEDD8 or BCL2 [141]
Histone deacetylase inhibitors (e.g., vorinostat, panobinostat)	HDAC inhibitors induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Early phase trials are evaluating the feasibility of dosing with conventional chemotherapy in the relapsed/refractory setting (NCT03263936)
Other novel agents	
BCL-2 inhibitors (e.g., venetoclax)	BCL2 is an oncogenic protein that blocks apoptosis and is therefore a promising target in many hematologic malignancies. Current studies are testing the combination of venetoclax with cytarabine and an anthracycline for relapsed/refractory AML (NCT03826992, NCT03194932) [144 [■]]

The majority of the medications listed in this table are not labeled specifically for pediatric AML or are still under investigation. BCL2, B-cell lymphoma 2; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; COG, Children's Oncology Group; FLT3, FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3; HDAC, histone deacetylase; ITD, internal tandem duplication.

dependence on FLT3/ITD blasts, small molecule inhibition of FLT3 kinase has also been pursued as a therapeutic strategy. Midostaurin, a first-generation kinase inhibitor, was recently approved by the Food and drug administration (FDA) for treatment of FLT3 mutated AML in adults on the basis of promising efficacy data for its use in conjunction with conventional chemotherapy, as well as use a

postconsolidation maintenance therapy [76[■],77]. This agent is not currently FDA approved in children. Similarly, phase I studies of the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib provided an early efficacy signal for FLT3 inhibition in children [78,79]. The AAML1031 trial nonrandomly stratified children with high allelic ratio FLT3 ITD to receive sorafenib; efficacy analyses are currently ongoing.

Supportive care

Although the intensification of AML therapy has improved outcomes for children with AML, this approach also causes substantial morbidity and mortality. The effectiveness of this therapy, therefore, depends on judicious and timely application of supportive care.

More than half of pediatric patients with AML will experience a severe bacterial infection while on therapy and approximately 10% will experience invasive fungal infections [80–82]. Thus, effective prophylaxis has been a longstanding area of interest. Recently, Alexander *et al.* [83[■]] reported the first prospective, randomized trial of antimicrobial prophylaxis in pediatric AML demonstrating that levofloxacin prophylaxis significantly reduces the risk of bacteremia and neutropenic fever. Bacterial prophylaxis has therefore become standard at many institutions. In addition, based on compelling retrospective data that antifungal prophylaxis decreases invasive fungal infection and TRM, antifungal prophylaxis is recommended in pediatric AML [84–91]. A prospective randomized trial comparing antifungal agents in children (NCT01307579) was recently completed and those results are pending.

Recent work identifying worse overall survival in patients experiencing on-protocol cardiac dysfunction has spurred new efforts to mitigate anthracycline-associated cardiac toxicity, particularly dexrazoxane, and liposomal anthracycline formulations [92[■]]. Although an early study reported an increased risk of secondary malignancy in Hodgkin's lymphoma [93], subsequent reports in leukemia patients have not identified the same risk [94–96]. With recent data demonstrating a cardioprotective benefit of dexrazoxane in pediatric AML without a signal for increased relapse or toxicity [97], dexrazoxane will be incorporated as the standard of care in the next phase III COG clinical trial.

CONCLUSION

Most currently used prognostic classification systems for childhood AML now consider cytogenetic and molecular factors and disease response by MRD with host factors and clinical characteristics for determining risk. Titrating therapeutic intensity – including the role of HSCT – to balance baseline risk and TRM may provide the best likelihood of improving survival. Future risk stratification is likely to include additional molecular/genomic factors as well as epigenetic factors and drug sensitivity testing. In addition, new molecularly based therapies may alter the implications of some of these lesions. Future prospective, clinical trials will be designed to

therapeutically leverage such prognostic factors, as well as further optimizing supportive care to minimize therapy-related toxicity.

Acknowledgements

None.

Financial support and sponsorship

None.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Pui CH, Carroll WL, Meshinchi S, Arceci RJ. Biology, risk stratification, and therapy of pediatric acute leukemias: an update. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; 29:551–565.
2. Moore AS, Kearns PR, Knapper S, *et al.* Novel therapies for children with acute myeloid leukaemia. *Leukemia* 2013; 27:1451–1460.
3. Nunes AL, Paes CA, Murao M, *et al.* Cytogenetic abnormalities, WHO classification, and evolution of children and adolescents with acute myeloid leukemia. *Hematol Transfus Cell Ther* 2019; 41:236–243.
4. Woods WG, Kobrinsky N, Buckley JD, *et al.* Timed-sequential induction therapy improves postremission outcome in acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the Children's Cancer Group. *Blood* 1996; 87:4979–4989.
5. Webb DK, Wheatley K, Harrison G, *et al.* Outcome for children with relapsed acute myeloid leukaemia following initial therapy in the Medical Research Council (MRC) AML 10 trial. *MRC Childhood Leukaemia Working Party. Leukemia* 1999; 13:25–31.
6. Wheatley K, Burnett AK, Goldstone AH, *et al.* A simple, robust, validated and highly predictive index for the determination of risk-directed therapy in acute myeloid leukaemia derived from the MRC AML 10 trial. *United Kingdom Medical Research Council's Adult and Childhood Leukaemia Working Parties. Br J Haematol* 1999; 107:69–79.
7. Creutzig U, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Gibson B, *et al.* Diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukemia in children and adolescents: recommendations from an international expert panel. *Blood* 2012; 120:3187–3205.
8. Creutzig U, Buchner T, Sauerland MC, *et al.* Significance of age in acute myeloid leukemia patients younger than 30 years: a common analysis of the pediatric trials AML-BFM 93/98 and the adult trials AMLCG 92/99 and AMLSG HD93/98A. *Cancer* 2008; 112:562–571.
9. Cooper TM, Franklin J, Gerbing RB, *et al.* AAML03P1, a pilot study of the safety of gemtuzumab ozogamicin in combination with chemotherapy for newly diagnosed childhood acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Cancer* 2012; 118:761–769.
10. Gamis AS, Alonzo TA, Meshinchi S, *et al.* Gemtuzumab ozogamicin in children and adolescents with de novo acute myeloid leukemia improves event-free survival by reducing relapse risk: results from the randomized Phase III Children's Oncology Group Trial AAML0531. *J Clin Oncol* 2014; 32:3021–3032.
11. Aplenc R, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, *et al.* Ethnicity and survival in childhood acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Blood* 2006; 108:74–80.
12. Rubnitz JE, Lensing S, Razzouk BI, *et al.* Effect of race on outcome of white and black children with acute myeloid leukemia: the St. Jude experience. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2007; 48:10–15.
13. Brady AK, Fu AZ, Earl M, *et al.* Race and intensity of postremission therapy in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Res* 2011; 35:346–350.
14. Winestone LE, Getz KD, Miller TP, *et al.* The role of acuity of illness at presentation in early mortality in black children with acute myeloid leukemia. *Am J Hematol* 2017; 92:141–148.
15. Lange BJ, Gerbing RB, Feusner J, *et al.* Mortality in overweight and underweight children with acute myeloid leukemia. *JAMA* 2005; 293:203–211.
16. Orgel E, Genkinger JM, Aggarwal D, *et al.* Association of body mass index and survival in pediatric leukemia: a meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2016; 103:808–817.

17. Lange BJ, Kobrin N, Barnard DR, *et al.* Distinctive demography, biology, and outcome of acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome in children with Down syndrome: Children's Cancer Group Studies 2861 and 2891. *Blood* 1998; 91:608–615.
 18. Klusmann JH, Creutzig U, Zimmermann M, *et al.* Treatment and prognostic impact of transient leukemia in neonates with Down syndrome. *Blood* 2008; 111:2991–2998.
 19. Gamis AS, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, *et al.* Natural history of transient myeloproliferative disorder clinically diagnosed in Down syndrome neonates: a report from the Children's Oncology Group Study A2971. *Blood* 2011; 118:6752–6759; quiz 996.
 20. Gamis AS. Acute myeloid leukemia and Down syndrome evolution of modern therapy: state of the art review. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2005; 44:13–20.
 21. Creutzig U, Dworzak MN, Zimmermann M, *et al.* Characteristics and outcome in patients with central nervous system involvement treated in European pediatric acute myeloid leukemia study groups. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2017; 64: e26664.
 22. Johnston DL, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, *et al.* Central nervous system disease in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2017; 64: e216612.
 23. Johnston DL, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, *et al.* Superior outcome of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia patients with orbital and CNS myeloid sarcoma: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2012; 58:519–524.
 24. Bolouri H, Farrar JE, Triche T Jr, *et al.* The molecular landscape of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia reveals recurrent structural alterations and age-specific mutational interactions. *Nat Med* 2018; 24:103–112.
- A comprehensive molecular characterization of pediatric AML by age on the basis of genomic sequencing of almost 1000 children enrolled on COG trials. This study describes new mutations and highlights differences between pediatric and adult AML including a different set of genes impacted by somatic structural variants.
25. Zwaan CM, Kolb EA, Reinhardt D, *et al.* Collaborative efforts driving progress in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 2015; 33:2949–2962.
 26. Grimwade D, Freeman SD. Defining minimal residual disease in acute myeloid leukemia: which platforms are ready for 'Prime Time'? *Blood* 2014; 124:3345–3355.
 27. Sievers EL, Lange BJ, Alonzo TA, *et al.* Immunophenotypic evidence of leukemia after induction therapy predicts relapse: results from a prospective Children's Cancer Group study of 252 patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2003; 101:3398–3406.
 28. Loken MR, Alonzo TA, Pardo L, *et al.* Residual disease detected by multi-dimensional flow cytometry signifies high relapse risk in patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia: a report from Children's Oncology Group. *Blood* 2012; 120:1581–1588.
 29. Rubnitz JE, Inaba H, Dahl G, *et al.* Minimal residual disease-directed therapy for childhood acute myeloid leukemia: results of the AML02 multicentre trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2010; 11:543–552.
 30. Buccisano F, Maurillo L, Del Principe ML, *et al.* Prognostic and therapeutic implications of minimal residual disease detection in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2012; 119:332–341.
 31. Preisler H, Bjornsson S, Henderson ES, *et al.* Remission induction in acute nonlymphocytic leukemia: comparison of a seven-day and ten-day infusion of cytosine arabinoside in combination with adriamycin. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 1979; 7:269–275.
 32. Rai KR, Holland JF, Glidewell OJ, *et al.* Treatment of acute myelocytic leukemia: a study by cancer and leukemia group B. *Blood* 1981; 58: 1203–1212.
 33. Lange BJ, Smith FO, Feusner J, *et al.* Outcomes in CCG-2961, a children's oncology group phase 3 trial for untreated pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the children's oncology group. *Blood* 2008; 111:1044–1053.
 34. Lange BJ, Dinndorf P, Smith FO, *et al.* Pilot study of idarubicin-based intensive-timing induction therapy for children with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia: Children's Cancer Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2004; 22:150–156.
 35. Creutzig U, Berthold F, Boos J, *et al.* Improved treatment results in children with AML: results of study AML-BFM 93. *Klin Padiatr* 2001; 213:175–185.
 36. Creutzig U, Ritter J, Zimmermann M, *et al.* Idarubicin improves blast cell clearance during induction therapy in children with AML: results of study AML-BFM 93. AML-BFM Study Group. *Leukemia* 2001; 15:348–354.
 37. Burnett AK, Hills RK, Milligan DW, *et al.* Attempts to optimize induction and consolidation treatment in acute myeloid leukemia: results of the MRC AML12 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; 28:586–595.
 38. Perel Y, Auvrignon A, Leblanc T, *et al.* Treatment of childhood acute myeloblastic leukemia: dose intensification improves outcome and maintenance therapy is of no benefit: multicenter studies of the French LAME (Leucemie Aigue Myeloblastique Enfant) Cooperative Group. *Leukemia* 2005; 19:2082–2089.
 39. Cooper TM, Absalon M, Alonzo TA, *et al.* AAML 1421, a phase I/II study of CPX-351 followed by fludarabine, cytarabine, and G-CSF (FLAG) for children with relapsed acute myeloid leukemia (AML): a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2019; 37(15_suppl):1.
- This abstract describes the phase I/II study of CPX-351, a liposomal formulation of cytarabine and daunorubicin. The study reported a complete response rate of 68% among 32 children with relapsed or refractory AML.
40. Becton D, Dahl GV, Ravindranath Y, *et al.* Randomized use of cyclosporin A (CsA) to modulate P-glycoprotein in children with AML in remission: Pediatric Oncology Group Study 9421. *Blood* 2006; 107:1315–1324.
 41. Willemze R, Suci S, Meloni G, *et al.* High-dose cytarabine in induction treatment improves the outcome of adult patients younger than age 46 years with acute myeloid leukemia: results of the EORTC-GIMEMA AML-12 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2014; 32:219–228.
 42. Burnett AK, Russell NH, Hills RK, *et al.* Optimization of chemotherapy for younger patients with acute myeloid leukemia: results of the medical research council AML15 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2013; 31:3360–3368.
 43. Rubnitz JE, Lacayo NJ, Inaba H, *et al.* Clofarabine can replace anthracyclines and etoposide in remission induction therapy for childhood acute myeloid leukemia: the AML08 multicenter, randomized phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2019; 37:2072–2081.
- In an attempt to limit regimen-associated cardiotoxicity associated with anthracyclines and secondary malignancies due to etoposide, this randomized trial studied clofarabine versus daunorubicin and etoposide with cytarabine in induction and did not find significant differences in 3 year EFS or OS between the two arms. Of note, in this protocol, the HDAC inhibitor vorinostat was additionally added to the second course of induction therapy for patients with HR AML without FLT3 mutations.
44. Mayer RJ, Davis RB, Schiffer CA, *et al.* Intensive postremission chemotherapy in adults with acute myeloid leukemia: cancer and leukemia group B. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 331:896–903.
 45. Mayer RJ, Schiffer CA, Peterson BA, *et al.* Intensive postremission therapy in adults with acute nonlymphocytic leukemia with ara-C by continuous infusion or bolus administration: preliminary results of a CALGB phase I study. *Semin Oncol* 1985; 12(2 Suppl 3):84–90.
 46. Woods WG, Ruymann FB, Lampkin BC, *et al.* The role of timing of high-dose cytosine arabinoside intensification and of maintenance therapy in the treatment of children with acute nonlymphocytic leukemia. *Cancer* 1990; 66:1106–1113.
 47. Wells RJ, Woods WG, Lampkin BC, *et al.* Impact of high-dose cytarabine and asparaginase intensification on childhood acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the Children's Cancer Group. *J Clin Oncol* 1993; 11:538–545.
 48. Tsukimoto I, Tawa A, Horibe K, *et al.* Risk-stratified therapy and the intensive use of cytarabine improves the outcome in childhood acute myeloid leukemia: the AML99 trial from the Japanese Childhood AML Cooperative Study Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; 27:4007–4013.
 49. Tomizawa D, Tabuchi K, Kinoshita A, *et al.* Repetitive cycles of high-dose cytarabine are effective for childhood acute myeloid leukemia: long-term outcome of the children with AML treated on two consecutive trials of Tokyo Children's Cancer Study Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2007; 49:127–132.
 50. Gibson BE, Webb DK, Howman AJ, *et al.* Results of a randomized trial in children with acute myeloid leukemia: medical research council AML12 trial. *Br J Haematol* 2011; 155:366–376.
 51. Getz KD, Alonzo TA, Sung L, *et al.* Four versus five chemotherapy courses in patients with low risk acute myeloid leukemia: a Children's Oncology Group report. *J Clin Oncol* 2017; 35(15_suppl):10515.
 52. Gibson BE, Wheatley K, Hann IM, *et al.* Treatment strategy and long-term results in paediatric patients treated in consecutive UK AML trials. *Leukemia* 2005; 19:2130–2138.
 53. Ravindranath Y, Chang M, Steuber CP, *et al.* Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) studies of acute myeloid leukemia (AML): a review of four consecutive childhood AML trials conducted between 1981 and 2000. *Leukemia* 2005; 19:2101–2116.
 54. Lie SO, Abrahamsson J, Clausen N, *et al.* Treatment stratification based on initial in vivo response in acute myeloid leukemia in children without Down's syndrome: results of NOPHO-AML trials. *Br J Haematol* 2003; 122:217–225.
 55. Creutzig U, Reinhardt D, Diekamp S, *et al.* AML patients with Down syndrome have a high cure rate with AML-BFM therapy with reduced dose intensity. *Leukemia* 2005; 19:1355–1360.
 56. Sung L, Buckstein R, Doyle JJ, *et al.* Treatment options for patients with acute myeloid leukemia with a matched sibling donor: a decision analysis. *Cancer* 2003; 97:592–600.
 57. Klusmann JH, Reinhardt D, Zimmermann M, *et al.* The role of matched sibling donor allogeneic stem cell transplantation in pediatric high-risk acute myeloid leukemia: results from the AML-BFM 98 study. *Haematologica* 2012; 97:21–29.
 58. Horan JT, Alonzo TA, Lyman GH, *et al.* Impact of disease risk on efficacy of matched related bone marrow transplantation for pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26:5797–5801.
 59. MacMillan ML, Davies SM, Nelson GO, *et al.* Twenty years of unrelated donor bone marrow transplantation for pediatric acute leukemia facilitated by the National Marrow Donor Program. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2008; 14(9 Suppl):16–22.
 60. Tallman MS, Dewald GW, Gandham S, *et al.* Impact of cytogenetics on outcome of matched unrelated donor hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in first or second complete remission. *Blood* 2007; 110:409–417.
 61. Shaw PJ, Kan F, Woo Ahn K, *et al.* Outcomes of pediatric bone marrow transplantation for leukemia and myelodysplasia using matched sibling, mismatched related, or matched unrelated donors. *Blood* 2010; 116: 4007–4015.

62. Michel G, Rocha V, Chevret S, *et al.* Unrelated cord blood transplantation for childhood acute myeloid leukemia: a Eurocord Group analysis. *Blood* 2003; 102:4290–4297.
 63. Eapen M, Wagner JE. Transplant outcomes in acute leukemia. I. *Semin Hematol* 2010; 47:46–50.
 64. Rocha V, Cornish J, Sievers EL, *et al.* Comparison of outcomes of unrelated bone marrow and umbilical cord blood transplants in children with acute leukemia. *Blood* 2001; 97:2962–2971.
 65. Eapen M, Rubinstein P, Zhang MJ, *et al.* Outcomes of transplantation of unrelated donor umbilical cord blood and bone marrow in children with acute leukaemia: a comparison study. *Lancet* 2007; 369:1947–1954.
 66. Veys P, Amrolia P, Rao K. The role of haploidentical stem cell transplantation in the management of children with haematological disorders. *Br J Haematol* 2003; 123:193–206.
 67. Symons HJ, Fuchs EJ. Hematopoietic SCT from partially HLA-mismatched (HLA-haploidentical) related donors. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2008; 42:365–377.
 68. Chang YJ, Wang Y, Huang XJ. Haploidentical stem cell transplantation for the treatment of leukemia: current status. *Expert Rev Hematol* 2014; 7:635–647.
 69. Klingebiel T, Cornish J, Labopin M, *et al.* Results and factors influencing outcome after fully haploidentical hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in children with very high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia: impact of center size: an analysis on behalf of the Acute Leukemia and Pediatric Disease Working Parties of the European Blood and Marrow Transplant group. *Blood* 2010; 115:3437–3446.
 70. Moretta L, Locatelli F, Pende D, *et al.* Natural killer alloeffector responses in haploidentical hemopoietic stem cell transplantation to treat high-risk leukemias. *Tissue Antigens* 2010; 75:103–109.
 71. Pfeiffer MM, Feuchtinger T, Teltschik HM, *et al.* Reconstitution of natural killer cell receptors influences natural killer activity and relapse rate after haploidentical transplantation of T- and B-cell depleted grafts in children. *Haematologica* 2010; 95:1381–1388.
 72. Raiola AM, Dominiotto A, di Grazia C, *et al.* Unmanipulated haploidentical transplants compared with other alternative donors and matched sibling grafts. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2014; 20:1573–1579.
 73. Liu DH, Xu LP, Liu KY, *et al.* Long-term outcomes of unmanipulated haploidentical HSCT for paediatric patients with acute leukaemia. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2013; 48:1519–1524.
 74. Bertaina A, Zecca M, Buldini B, *et al.* Unrelated donor vs HLA-haploidentical alpha/beta T-cell- and B-cell-depleted HSCT in children with acute leukemia. *Blood* 2018; 132:2594–2607.
- Haploidentical transplant with α/β -depletion has the potential to change the field of transplant for AML, increasing donor options while minimizing treatment-related morbidity such as graft versus host disease and infection. Definitive studies are needed but this early report describing this strategy in children holds promise.
75. Castaigne S, Pautas C, Terre C, *et al.* Effect of gemtuzumab ozogamicin on survival of adult patients with de-novo acute myeloid leukaemia (ALFA-0701): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 2012; 379:1508–1516.
 76. Stone RM, Mandrekas SJ, Sanford BL, *et al.* Midostaurin plus chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia with a FLT3 mutation. *N Engl J Med* 2017; 377:454–464.
- Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of midostaurin in adults with FLT3 mutated AML that demonstrated improved overall survival and EFS in patients who received midostaurin (HR for death=0.78, $P=0.009$ HR for event or death=0.78, $P=0.002$).
77. Schlenk RF, Weber D, Fiedler W, *et al.* Midostaurin added to chemotherapy and continued single-agent maintenance therapy in acute myeloid leukemia with FLT3-ITD. *Blood* 2019; 133:840–851.
 78. Watt TC, Cooper T. Sorafenib as treatment for relapsed or refractory pediatric acute myelogenous leukemia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2012; 59:756–757.
 79. Inaba H, Rubnitz JE, Coustan-Smith E, *et al.* Phase I pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study of the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib in combination with clofarabine and cytarabine in pediatric relapsed/refractory leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; 29:3293–3300.
 80. Kobayashi R, Kaneda M, Sato T, *et al.* The clinical feature of invasive fungal infection in pediatric patients with hematologic and malignant diseases: a 10-year analysis at a single institution at Japan. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2008; 30:886–890.
 81. Kaya Z, Gursel T, Kocak U, *et al.* Invasive fungal infections in pediatric leukemia patients receiving fluconazole prophylaxis. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2009; 52:470–475.
 82. Sung L, Gamis A, Alonzo TA, *et al.* Infections and association with different intensity of chemotherapy in children with acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer* 2009; 115:1100–1108.
 83. Alexander S, Fisher BT, Gaur AH, *et al.* Effect of levofloxacin prophylaxis on bacteremia in children with acute leukemia or undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2018; 320:995–1004.
- Blood stream infection is a major contributor to morbidity in children with AML. This randomized controlled trial demonstrated the benefit of bacterial prophylaxis in children receiving AML therapy.
84. Ethier MC, Science M, Beyene J, *et al.* Mould-active compared with fluconazole prophylaxis to prevent invasive fungal diseases in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy or hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br J Cancer* 2012; 106:1626–1637.
 85. Robenshtok E, Gaftor-Gvili A, Goldberg E, *et al.* Antifungal prophylaxis in cancer patients after chemotherapy or hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; 25:5471–5489.
 86. Sung L, Aplenc R, Alonzo TA, *et al.* Effectiveness of supportive care measures to reduce infections in pediatric AML: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Blood* 2013; 121:3573–3577.
 87. Mattiuzzi GN, Kantarjian H, O'Brien S, *et al.* Intravenous itraconazole for prophylaxis of systemic fungal infections in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia and high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome undergoing induction chemotherapy. *Cancer* 2004; 100:568–573.
 88. Mattiuzzi GN, Kantarjian H, Faderl S, *et al.* Amphotericin B lipid complex as prophylaxis of invasive fungal infections in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome undergoing induction chemotherapy. *Cancer* 2004; 100:581–589.
 89. Mandhanaya S, Swaroop C, Thulkar S, *et al.* Oral voriconazole versus intravenous low dose amphotericin B for primary antifungal prophylaxis in pediatric acute leukemia induction: a prospective, randomized, clinical study. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2011; 33:e333–e341.
 90. Tacke D, Buchheidt D, Karthaus M, *et al.* Primary prophylaxis of invasive fungal infections in patients with hematologic malignancies: 2014 update of the recommendations of the Infectious Diseases Working Party of the German Society for Haematology and Oncology. *Ann Hematol* 2014; 93:1449–1456.
 91. Grau S, de la Camara R, Sabater FJ, *et al.* Cost-effectiveness of posaconazole versus fluconazole or itraconazole in the prevention of invasive fungal infections among high-risk neutropenic patients in Spain. *BMC infectious diseases* 2012; 12:83.
 92. Getz KD, Sung L, Ky B, *et al.* Occurrence of treatment-related cardiotoxicity and its impact on outcomes among children treated in the aaml0531 clinical trial: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2019; 37:12–21.
- Although anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity has been previously well described, there has recently been increasing awareness of the impact of cardiotoxicity on outcomes. This report demonstrates that early cardiotoxicity is associated with dramatically inferior EFS and overall survival.
93. Tebbi CK, London WB, Friedman D, *et al.* Dexrazoxane-associated risk for acute myeloid leukemia/myelodysplastic syndrome and other secondary malignancies in pediatric Hodgkin's disease. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; 25:493–500.
 94. Vrooman LM, Neuberg DS, Stevenson KE, *et al.* The low incidence of secondary acute myelogenous leukaemia in children and adolescents treated with dexrazoxane for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a report from the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute ALL Consortium. *Eur J Cancer* 2011; 47:1373–1379.
 95. Barry EV, Vrooman LM, Dahlberg SE, *et al.* Absence of secondary malignant neoplasms in children with high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with dexrazoxane. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26:1106–1111.
 96. Seif AE, Walker DM, Li Y, *et al.* Dexrazoxane exposure and risk of secondary acute myeloid leukemia in pediatric oncology patients. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2014; 62:704–709.
 97. Getz KD, Sung L, Leger K, *et al.* Effect of dexrazoxane on left ventricular function and treatment outcomes in patients with acute myeloid leukemia: a Children's Oncology Group report. *J Clin Oncol* 2018; 36(15_suppl):10501.
 98. Harrison CJ, Hills RK, Moorman AV, *et al.* Cytogenetics of childhood acute myeloid leukemia: United Kingdom Medical Research Council Treatment trials AML 10 and 12. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; 28:2674–2681.
 99. von Neuhoff C, Reinhardt D, Sander A, *et al.* Prognostic impact of specific chromosomal aberrations in a large group of pediatric patients with acute myeloid leukemia treated uniformly according to trial AML-BFM 98. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; 28:2682–2689.
 100. Hollink IH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Zimmermann M, *et al.* Clinical relevance of Wilms tumor 1 gene mutations in childhood acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2009; 113:5951–5960.
 101. Brown P, McIntyre E, Rau R, *et al.* The incidence and clinical significance of nucleophosmin mutations in childhood AML. *Blood* 2007; 110:979–985.
 102. Hollink IH, Zwaan CM, Zimmermann M, *et al.* Favorable prognostic impact of NPM1 gene mutations in childhood acute myeloid leukemia, with emphasis on cytogenetically normal AML. *Leukemia* 2009; 23:262–270.
 103. Fasan A, Haeflrich C, Alpermann T, *et al.* The role of different genetic subtypes of CEBPA mutated AML. *Leukemia* 2014; 28:794–803.
 104. Hijiya N, Gaynon P, Barry E, *et al.* A multicenter phase I study of clofarabine, etoposide and cyclophosphamide in combination in pediatric patients with refractory or relapsed acute leukemia. *Leukemia* 2009; 23:2259–2264.
 105. Hollink IH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Arentsen-Peters ST, *et al.* Characterization of CEBPA mutations and promoter hypermethylation in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica* 2011; 96:384–392.

106. Staffas A, Kanduri M, Hovland R, *et al*. Presence of FLT3-ITD and high BAALC expression are independent prognostic markers in childhood acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2011; 118:5905–5913.
 107. Johnston DL, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, *et al*. Outcome of pediatric patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and -5/5q- abnormalities from five pediatric AML treatment protocols: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2013; 60:2073–2078.
 108. Meshinchi S, Alonzo TA, Stirewalt DL, *et al*. Clinical implications of FLT3 mutations in pediatric AML. *Blood* 2006; 108:3654–3661.
 109. Port M, Bottcher M, Thol F, *et al*. Prognostic significance of FLT3 internal tandem duplication, nucleophosmin 1, and CEBPA gene mutations for acute myeloid leukemia patients with normal karyotype and younger than 60 years: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Hematol* 2014; 93:1279–1286.
 110. Schnittger S, Schoch C, Dugas M, *et al*. Analysis of FLT3 length mutations in 1003 patients with acute myeloid leukemia: correlation to cytogenetics, FAB subtype, and prognosis in the AMLCG study and usefulness as a marker for the detection of minimal residual disease. *Blood* 2002; 100:59–66.
 111. Mead AJ, Linch DC, Hills RK, *et al*. FLT3 tyrosine kinase domain mutations are biologically distinct from and have a significantly more favorable prognosis than FLT3 internal tandem duplications in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2007; 110:1262–1270.
 112. Balgobind BV, Raimondi SC, Harbott J, *et al*. Novel prognostic subgroups in childhood 11q23/MLL-rearranged acute myeloid leukemia: results of an international retrospective study. *Blood* 2009; 114:2489–2496.
 113. Coenen EA, Raimondi SC, Harbott J, *et al*. Prognostic significance of additional cytogenetic aberrations in 733 de novo pediatric 11q23/MLL-rearranged AML patients: results of an international study. *Blood* 2011; 117:7102–7111.
 114. Shiba N, Ichikawa H, Taki T, *et al*. NUP98-NSD1 gene fusion and its related gene expression signature are strongly associated with a poor prognosis in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2013; 52:683–693.
 115. Sandahl JD, Coenen EA, Forestier E, *et al*. t(6;9)(p22;q34)/DEK-NUP214-rearranged pediatric myeloid leukemia: an international study of 62 patients. *Haematologica* 2014; 99:865–872.
 116. Slater RM, von Druenen E, Kroes WG, *et al*. t(7;12)(q36;p13) and t(7;12)(q32;p13): translocations involving ETV6 in children 18 months of age or younger with myeloid disorders. *Leukemia* 2001; 15:915–920.
 117. von Bergh AR, van Druenen E, van Wering ER, *et al*. High incidence of t(7;12)(q36;p13) in infant AML but not in infant ALL, with a dismal outcome and ectopic expression of HLXB9. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2006; 45:731–739.
 118. Park J, Kim M, Lim J, *et al*. Three-way complex translocations in infant acute myeloid leukemia with t(7;12)(q36;p13): the incidence and correlation of a HLXB9 overexpression. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 2009; 191:102–105.
 119. Espersen AD, Noren-Nystrom U, Abrahamsson J, *et al*. Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with t(7;12)(q36;p13) is associated with infancy and trisomy 19: data from Nordic Society for Pediatric Hematology and Oncology (NOPHO-AML) and review of the literature. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2018; 57:359–365.
 120. Palmqvist L, Argiropoulos B, Pineault N, *et al*. The Flt3 receptor tyrosine kinase collaborates with NUP98-HOX fusions in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2006; 108:1030–1036.
 121. Akiki S, Dyer SA, Grimwade D, *et al*. NUP98-NSD1 fusion in association with FLT3-ITD mutation identifies a prognostically relevant subgroup of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia patients suitable for monitoring by real time quantitative PCR. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2013; 52:1053–1064.
 122. Hollink IH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Arentsen-Peters ST, *et al*. NUP98/NSD1 characterizes a novel poor prognostic group in acute myeloid leukemia with a distinct HOX gene expression pattern. *Blood* 2011; 118:3645–3656.
 123. Gruber TA, Larson Gedman A, Zhang J, *et al*. An Inv(16)(p13.3q24.3)-encoded CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion protein defines an aggressive subtype of pediatric acute megakaryoblastic leukemia. *Cancer Cell* 2012; 22:683–697.
 124. Masetti R, Pigazzi M, Togni M, *et al*. CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion transcript is a novel common feature in pediatric, cytogenetically normal AML, not restricted to FAB M7 subtype. *Blood* 2013; 121:3469–3472.
 125. Bernstein J, Dastugue N, Haas OA, *et al*. Nineteen cases of the t(1;22)(p13;q13) acute megakaryoblastic leukaemia of infants/children and a review of 39 cases: report from a t(1;22) study group. *Leukemia* 2000; 14:216–218.
 126. O'Brien MM, Cao X, Pounds S, *et al*. Prognostic features in acute megakaryoblastic leukemia in children without Down syndrome: a report from the AML02 multicenter trial and the Children's Oncology Group Study POG 9421. *Leukemia* 2013; 27:731–734.
 127. Coenen EA, Zwaan CM, Reinhardt D, *et al*. Pediatric acute myeloid leukemia with t(8;16)(p11;p13), a distinct clinical and biological entity: a collaborative study by the International-Berlin-Frankfurt-Munster AML-study group. *Blood* 2013; 122:2704–2713.
 128. Classen CF, Behnisch W, Reinhardt D, *et al*. Spontaneous complete and sustained remission of a rearrangement CBP (16p13)-positive disseminated congenital myelosarcoma. *Ann Hematol* 2005; 84:274–275.
 129. Terui K, Sato T, Sasaki S, *et al*. Two novel variants of MOZ-CBP fusion transcripts in spontaneously remitted infant leukemia with t(1;16;8)(p13;p13;p11), a new variant of t(8;16)(p11;p13). *Haematologica* 2008; 93:1591–1593.
 130. Weintraub M, Kaplinsky C, Amariglio N, *et al*. Spontaneous regression of congenital leukaemia with an 8;16 translocation. *Br J Haematol* 2000; 111:641–643.
 131. Wong KF, Yuen HL, Siu LL, *et al*. t(8;16)(p11;p13) predisposes to a transient but potentially recurring neonatal leukemia. *Hum Pathol* 2008; 39:1702–1707.
 132. Wu X, Sulavik D, Roulston D, Lim MS. Spontaneous remission of congenital acute myeloid leukemia with t(8;16)(p11;p13). *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2011; 56:331–332.
 133. Boissel N, Leroy H, Brethon B, *et al*. Incidence and prognostic impact of c-Kit, FLT3, and Ras gene mutations in core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia (CBF-AML). *Leukemia* 2006; 20:965–970.
 134. Pollard JA, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, *et al*. Prevalence and prognostic significance of KIT mutations in pediatric patients with core binding factor AML enrolled on serial pediatric cooperative trials for de novo AML. *Blood* 2010; 115:2372–2379.
 135. Pession A, Masetti R, Rizzari C, *et al*. Results of the AIEOP AML 2002/01 multicenter prospective trial for the treatment of children with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2013; 122:170–178.
 136. Creutzig U, Zimmermann M, Bourquin JP, *et al*. Randomized trial comparing liposomal daunorubicin with idarubicin as induction for pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: results from Study AML-BFM 2004. *Blood* 2013; 122:37–43.
 137. Tomizawa D, Tawa A, Watanabe T, *et al*. Excess treatment reduction including anthracyclines results in higher incidence of relapse in core binding factor acute myeloid leukemia in children. *Leukemia* 2013; 27:2413–2416.
 138. Entz-Werle N, Suciu S, van der Werff ten Bosch J, *et al*. Results of 58872 and 58921 trials in acute myeloblastic leukemia and relative value of chemotherapy vs allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in first complete remission: the EORTC Children Leukemia Group report. *Leukemia* 2005; 19:2072–2081.
 139. Hasle H, Abrahamsson J, Forestier E, *et al*. Gemtuzumab ozogamicin as postconsolidation therapy does not prevent relapse in children with AML: results from NOPHO-AML 2004. *Blood* 2012; 120:978–984.
 140. Abrahamsson J, Forestier E, Heldrup J, *et al*. Response-guided induction therapy in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia with excellent remission rate. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; 29:310–315.
 141. Tasian SK, Pollard JA, Aplenc R. Molecular therapeutic approaches for pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. *Front Oncol* 2014; 4:55.
 142. Daver N, Schlenk RF, Russell NH, Levis MJ. Targeting FLT3 mutations in AML: review of current knowledge and evidence. *Leukemia* 2019; 33:299–312.
- FLT3 mutations are a poor-prognosis risk factor in AML but are also a potential therapeutic target and an area of active investigation. This review article comprehensively summarizes the current data and ongoing clinical trials of FLT3 inhibition.
143. Perl AE, Altman JK, Cortes J, *et al*. Selective inhibition of FLT3 by gilteritinib in relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukaemia: a multicentre, first-in-human, open-label, phase 1-2 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2017; 18:1061–1075.
 144. Kahlen M, Klusmann JH, Hoell JI. Molecular approaches to treating pediatric leukemias. *Front Pediatr* 2019; 7:368.
- Review article describing novel, molecularly targeted therapies and linking these therapies to disease biology in pediatric acute lymphoblastic and myeloid leukemia.